

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIDMATIONING
09/911,048	07/23/2001	Julian E. Davies	DAM 552-00	CONFIRMATION NO.
	590 09/30/2002 OLDIER AND RIOLO	OGICAL CHEMICAL COMMAN		3719
OTTICE OF IT	TO CHIEF COUNSEL/L	P TEAM (BLDG E4435)	D EXAMINER	
5183 BLACKH APG, MD 210	IAWK KUAD	(=== 0 2.133)	LU, FRANK WEI MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,		09/911,048					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	DAVIES ET AL.				
		F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	0rrespondence addis-				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any Status						
1	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	701 This or a second						
	3) Since this application is in condition for all	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or election							
The state of the s							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	The same may not request trial any objection to the drawing (a) by the last trial						
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Z.L. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified conies with the second coni							
1.	Total of the degree of a claim for domestic priority under 25 U.S.C. and a second						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO, 802)							
2) 3) E	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTC 5) Notice of Informal Patent 6) Other:	0-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)				
O-3	nt and Trademark Office 26 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action S	limmon.					
	Action 3	runnary	b				

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Location of Application

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1634.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a method for the qualitative and/or quantitative detection of a ribosome inactivating protein, classified in class 436, subclass 94.
 - II. Claims 16-30, drawn to a reagent for detecting the presence of ribosome inhibiting proteins (claims 16-24) and an assay kit for the qualitative and/or quantitative detection of a ribosome inactivating protein (claims 25-30), classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as a nucleic acid hybridization assay.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,048

Art Unit: 1634

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) fluorescence spectrometry (claim 13)
- (2) high pressure liquid chromatography (claim 14).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are 1-12 and 15.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/911,048

Art Unit: 1634

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Group II contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) dAU6 20mer (claim 21)
- (2) DA 14 mer (claim 22)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are 16-20 and 23-30.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 1634

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,048

Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the patent Analyst of the Art Unit, Ms. Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Frank Lu September 24, 2002

> Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600